### ATENT COOPERATION TREAT

### **PCT**

REC'D 17 JAN 2005

WIPO

PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

N.86941A JCI International application No. Internation		FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
		International filing date (day/month/yea 05.11.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 06.11.2002		
PCT/GB 03/047					
	t Classification (IPC) or b	oth national classification and IPC			
G01N33/50					
		<u> </u>			
Applicant					
ISIS INNOVAT	ION LIMITED et al.				
4 This intern	ational preliminary ex	amination report has been prepared I	by this International Preliminary Examining		
1. This interral Authority	and is transmitted to th	e applicant according to Article 36.			
	ODT consists of a total	of 7 sheets, including this cover she	eet.		
☐ This	report is also accomp	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of th	ne description, claims and/or drawings which have		
bee	n amended and are th	e basis for this report and/or sneets on 607 of the Administrative Instructi	containing rectifications made before this Authority ions under the PCT).		
•					
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.					
Hese an	HOXOC COMMENTS IN THE				
Hese an					
THESE ALL					
		relating to the following items:			
		relating to the following items:			
3. This repo	ort contains indications  Basis of the opinion	relating to the following items:	entive eten and industrial applicability		
3. This repo	ort contains indications Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability		
3. This repo	Priority Non-establishment	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, inve			
3. This repo	Processed etatoms	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, invention of under Bule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard t	entive step and industrial applicability to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
3. This repo	rt contains indications  Basis of the opinion  Priority  Non-establishment  Lack of unity of inverse of the contains and explains	relating to the following items:  of opinion with regard to novelty, invention  on tunder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to the statement.			
3. This repo	Price contains indications  Basis of the opinion Priority  Non-establishment Lack of unity of invented in the contained statement of the contained occuments	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, invention at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nations supporting such statement			
3. This repo	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inversesoned statement citations and explant Certain defects in the	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, invention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nations supporting such statement cited ne international application			
3. This repo	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inversesoned statement citations and explant Certain defects in the	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, invention at under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nations supporting such statement			
3. This repo	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inversesoned statement citations and explant Certain defects in the	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, invention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nations supporting such statement cited ne international application			
3. This repo	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invented in the citations and explant Certain defects in the comments of the certain observations.	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, invention of under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nations supporting such statement cited the international application as on the international application	o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
3. This repo	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inversesoned statement citations and explant Certain defects in the	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, invention of under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nations supporting such statement cited the international application as on the international application			
3. This repo	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invented in the citations and explant Certain defects in the comments of the certain observations.	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, invention of under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nations supporting such statement cited the international application as on the international application	o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
3. This repo	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invented in the citations and explant Certain defects in the comments of the certain observations.	relating to the following items: of opinion with regard to novelty, invention int under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard thations supporting such statement cited international application as on the international application  Date of co	o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
3. This report I See See See See See See See See See S	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inventiations and explar Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observations	relating to the following items:  of opinion with regard to novelty, invention  nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nations supporting such statement cited  the international application  as on the international application  Date of contact of the contact of the property of the contact	o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
3. This repo	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inventiations and explar Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation Sion of the demand	relating to the following items:  of opinion with regard to novelty, invention  nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nations supporting such statement cited  the international application  as on the international application  Date of contact of the contact of the property of the contact	o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; completion of this report		
3. This report of the second o	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inventiations and explar Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observations	relating to the following items:  of opinion with regard to novelty, invention In under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nations supporting such statement cited In international application In a on the international application  Date of containing the statement of the international application  Authorized  Weilland	on novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; completion of this report		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/04749

	_	_	_			
I.	Bas	is	Ωf	the	repo	nt

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Desc	scription, Pages				
	1-37		as originally filed			
	Clai	ms, Numbers				
	1-22	·	as originally filed			
			<del>-</del>			
	Drav	wings, Sheets				
	1/6-6	6/6	as originally filed			
2.	With regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
		the language of a trai	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
		the language of publi	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).			
3.	With inte	n regard to any <b>nucle</b> rnational preliminary e	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
		contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.			
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequen	ntly to this Authority in written form.			
			ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.			
		in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that the listing has been furnitude.	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.			
4.	The	e amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/04749

5.		been considered to go beyond tr	ne aisc	losure as me	amendments had not been made, since they have d (Rule 70.2(c)).		
		(Any replacement sheet contains report.)	ing sud	ch amendme	nts must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	Add	ditional observations, if necessary	<b>:</b>				
111.	. No	n-establishment of opinion with	rega	rd to novelty	, inventive step and industrial applicability		
1.		The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international application	on,				
	Ø	claims Nos. 12-14 (with respect	to ind	ustrial applica	ability)		
		because:					
	×	☑ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 12-14 (with respect to industrial applicability) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
		see separate sheet					
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
		□ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.					
2	01	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:					
		☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.					
		$\square$ the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.					
<ul> <li>V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;</li> <li>citations and explanations supporting such statement</li> </ul>							
	1. S	tatement					
	N	lovelty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14, 17-21 22		
	li	nventive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14, 17-21 22		
	lı	ndustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-11, 18-21		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/04749

Citations and explanations see separate sheet

The following documents (D) are referred to in this report; the numbering will be adhered to the rest of the procedure:

D1: US-A-5492816

D2: Journal Medicinal Chemistry, 1996, Vol. 39, Pages 5215-5227

D3: International Journal of Psychophysiology, 2001, Vol. 41, Pages 93-100

### **SECTION I**

The subject matter of claims 15-16 have been not been the subject of 1. International Search, therefore they will accordingly not be subject of International Preliminary Examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT).

#### **SECTION III**

Claims 12-14, 17 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be 2. covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

### **SECTION V**

- Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT) 3.
- The subject matter of claim 22 is anticipated by D1. 3.1
  - D1 (page 4, lines 41-51) describes the measurement of superoxide anion by means of luminol using any standard spectrophotometer ("chemiluminometer" according to claim 22)
- 3.2 The subject matter of claims 1-14 and 17-21 including a psychological stressor in methods for determining whether an individual is experiencing changed physiological status (claim 1), or for screening for a stress relieving drug (claim 12) or a method for testing the efficacy (claim 17) are not disclosed in the prior art documents.
- Inventive Step (Article 33(3) PCT) 4.

D2 is considered as the closest prior art document. D2 (abstract; page 5227, left column, fourth paragraph) describes the effect of inhibitors on the PMA induced superoxide anion burst in neutrophils using a luminometer. Claims 1, 12 and 17 differ from D2 in they relate to psychological stressors in:

- method for determining changed physiological status (claim 1)
- method for screening a stress relieving drug (claim 12)
- method of testing the efficacy of a proposed stress-relieving treatment (claim 17)

The technical problem to be solved with respect to claim 1 would reside in finding an alternative way to assay the physiological status of an individual.

The skilled person, equipped with the knowledge of D2, would not be motivated to arrive at the subject matter of claim 1, despite that D3 learns that beside physiological stressors, mental stressors exist for increasing the superoxide anion production. However, the prior art does not show, that said stress exposure leads to less superoxide anion production in vitro after stimulation by an inducer compared to an unexposed control.

Therefore, claims 1-11 and 18-21 would appear to involve an inventive step and also claims 12-14, relating to methods of screening for compounds influencing the superoxide anion production of claims 1-11 and claim 17, relating to the efficacy of a proposed stress relieving treatment using a method in accordance to claims 2-11.

For the assessment of the present claims 12-14 and 17 on the question whether 5. they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

In this context the passage "administering a test compound to an individual" or "exposing an individual to a psychological stressor" according to claims 12 and 17

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/GB 03/04749

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

is considered to cover treatment by surgery and therefore is a method of treatment.